

## ARIZONA

**Park Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2006
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Request
	06 Canyon de Chelly NM	1,343	1,509	30	0	1,539
	06 Casa Grande Ruins NM & Hohokam Pima NM	653	773	19	0	792
	05 Chiricahua NM & Ft Bowie NHS	1,334	1,464	47	0	1,511
	05 Coronado NMem	937	961	16	0	977
	06 Flagstaff Area Parks - Sunset Crater NM, Walnut Canyon NM, Wupatki NM	2,674	2,798	86	0	2,884
	03 Glen Canyon NRA	9,256	9,828	224	0	10,052
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	20	0	0	20
	Total Glen Canyon NRA	[9,256]	[9,848]	[224]	[0]	[10,072]
	03 Grand Canyon NP	18,567	18,921	678	0	19,599
	06 Hubbell Trading Post NHS	683	719	20	0	739
03, 01	Lake Mead NRA	14,085	14,432	331	0	14,763
	03 Parashant NM	789	813	18	0	831
	03 Montezuma Castle NM & Tuzigoot NM	1,118	1,148	38	0	1,186
	06 Navajo NM	758	858	17	0	875
	02 Organ Pipe Cactus NM	3,070	3,267	61	0	3,328
	06 Petrified Forest NP	2,534	2,768	77	0	2,845
	03 Pipe Spring NM	656	676	20	0	696
	05 Saguaro NP	2,821	3,094	99	0	3,193
	06 Tonto NM	726	768	22	0	790
	02 Tumacacori NHP	745	816	22	0	838
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	99	0	0	99
	Total Tumacacori NHP	[745]	[915]	[22]	[0]	[937]

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup> These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

## ARIZONA

### FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

## ARIZONA

**Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
Juan Bautista de Anza NHT	225	232	6	0	238
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	24	0	0	24
Total Juan Bautista de Anza NHT	[225]	[256]	[6]	[0]	[262]
Old Spanish NHT <sup>2</sup>	70	72	0	0	72
Southern Arizona Group	1,329	1,470	25	0	1,495

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup>These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

<sup>2</sup>Jointly administered with BLM

**ARIZONA (IMR)**  
**FY 2006 Proposed Program**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Canyon de Chelly NM	Ongoing Project
Casa Grande Ruins NM	Ongoing Project
Montezuma Castle NM	Ongoing Project
Old Spanish Trail NHT	Ongoing Project
Saguaro NP	Ongoing Project
Tuzigoot NM	Potential New Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
None	

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$642

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

**ARIZONA (PWR)**  
**FY 2006 Proposed Program**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

**Park Area**

**Type of Project**

None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$642

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

## COLORADO

**Park Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2006
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Request
	04 Bent's Old Fort NHS	999	1,052	28	0	1,080
	03 Black Canyon of the Gunnison NP	1,024	1,063	22	0	1,085
	01 Colorado NM	1,015	1,041	28	0	1,069
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	74	0	0	74
	Total Colorado NM	[1,015]	[1,115]	[28]	[0]	[1,143]
	01 Curecanti NRA	2,955	3,041	84	0	3,125
	03 Dinosaur NM	2,777	2,865	78	0	2,943
	05 Florissant Fossil Beds NM	630	768	19	0	787
	03 Great Sand Dunes NM & Preserve	1,448	1,484	38	0	1,522
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	247	0	0	247
	Total Great Sand Dunes NM & Preserve	[1,448]	[1,731]	[38]	[0]	[1,769]
	03 Hovenweep NM	493	506	6	0	512
	03 Mesa Verde NP	5,024	5,151	175	0	5,326
	02,03,04 Rocky Mountain NP	10,337	10,698	362	0	11,060
	04 Sand Creek Massacre NHS	287	356	4	0	360
	03 Yucca House NM	97	100	0	0	100

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup> These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

## COLORADO

### FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

## COLORADO

**Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
California NHT	246	253	0	0	253
Old Spanish NHT <sup>1</sup>	70	72	0	0	72
Pony Express NHT	177	182	0	0	182
Sante Fe NHT	631	650	19	0	669
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase <sup>2</sup>	0	24	0	0	24
Total Santa Fe NHT	[631]	[674]	[19]	[0]	[693]

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup>Jointly administered with BLM

<sup>2</sup>These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).



**COLORADO (IMR)**  
**FY 2006 Proposed Program**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u><b>Park Area</b></u>	<u><b>Type of Project</b></u>
Colorado Natl Mem	Ongoing Projects
Great Sand Dunes NP & Pres	Ongoing Projects
Hovenweep NM	Ongoing Projects
Old Spanish Trail NHT	Ongoing Projects
Yucca House NM	Ongoing Projects

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u><b>Study Area</b></u>	<u><b>Type of Project</b></u>
Curecanti NRA EA	Ongoing Projects
Curecanti NRA Personal Watercraft Management	Ongoing Projects
Mesa Verde NP, Fire Management EIS	Ongoing Projects

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$658

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

## IDAHO

**Park Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2006
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Request
	02 City of Rocks National Reserve	305	313	1	0	314
	02 Craters of the Moon NM	976	1,003	28	0	1,031
	02 Hagerman Fossil Beds NM	683	702	15	0	717
	02 Minidoka Internment NM	178	183	4	0	187
	01 Nez Perce NHP	1,730	1,778	51	0	1,829
	02 Yellowstone NP	28,093	29,208	860	0	30,068
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	637	0	0	637
	Total Yellowstone NP	[28,093]	[29,845]	[860]	[0]	[30,705]

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup> These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

## IDAHO

### FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

## IDAHO

**Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
California NHT	246	253	0	0	253
Lewis & Clark NHT	1,681	1,725	28	0	1,753
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	24	0	0	24
Total Lewis & Clark NHT	[1,681]	[1,749]	[28]	[0]	[1,777]
Oregon NHT	213	219	8	0	227
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	34	0	0	34
Total Oregon NHT	[213]	[253]	[8]	[0]	[261]

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup>These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

**IDAHO (IMR)**  
**FY 2006 Proposed Program**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

**Park Area**

**Type of Project**

None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$571

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

**IDAHO (PWR)**  
**FY 2006 Proposed Program**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
City of Rocks N Res	Potential New Start
Craters of the Moon NM	Ongoing Project
Minidoka Internment NM	Ongoing Project
Nez Perce NHP	Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$571

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

## MONTANA

**Park Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2006
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Request
	00 Big Hole NB	507	523	13	0	536
	00 Bighorn Canyon NRA	2,616	3,078	74	0	3,152
	00 Fort Union Trading Post NHS	631	649	18	0	667
	00 Glacier NP	11,072	11,524	383	0	11,907
	00 Grant-Kohrs Ranch NHS	1,048	1,224	28	0	1,252
	00 Little Bighorn NM	1,029	1,078	28	0	1,106
	00 Yellowstone NP	28,093	29,208	860	0	30,068
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	637	0	0	637
	Total Yellowstone NP	[28,093]	[29,845]	[860]	[0]	[30,705]

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup> These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

## MONTANA

### FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE



## MONTANA

**Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
Lewis & Clark NHT	1,681	1,725	28	0	1,753
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	24	0	0	24
Total Lewis & Clark NHT	[1,681]	[1,749]	[28]	[0]	[1,777]

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup>These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

**MONTANA (IMR)**  
**FY 2006 Proposed Program**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Glacier NP	Remove Hazardous Materials and Correct Fire Egress at Many Glacier Hotel	\$758

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$601

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
None

**MONTANA (MWR)**  
**FY 2006 Proposed Program**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$601

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
None

**MONTANA (PWR)**  
**FY 2006 Proposed Program**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$601

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
None

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	<b>805</b>
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	<b>2006</b>
<b>Funding Source:</b>	<b>Line Item Construction</b>

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Remove Hazardous Materials and Correct Fire Egress at Many Glacier Hotel		
<b>Project No:</b> 87500B	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Glacier National Park	
<b>Region:</b> Intermountain	<b>Congressional District:</b> 01	<b>State:</b> MT

**Project Justification**

<b>FCI-Before:</b> 0.47	<b>FCI-Projected:</b> 0.46	<b>API:</b> 28
-------------------------	----------------------------	----------------

**Project Description:** This project will prepare the Many Glacier Hotel for more extensive rehabilitation in other projects over the next several years by abating the most dangerous and exposed of the identified hazardous materials and bio hazards in the hotel. It eliminates those hazardous materials and corrects those biohazard conditions that present immediate health threats to both hotel guests and employees and that require a specialized hazardous materials contractor. The scope includes the following work:

- Demolish all asbestos-wrapped heating pipes throughout the building and patch holes resulting from the pipe removal.
- Remove asbestos wrap from the boiler in Annex II.
- Abate asbestos-containing soils in the crawl spaces below the South Bridge, Annex I, North Bridge, Kitchen, and Dining Room that are accessed for routine maintenance by both concession and NPS employees.
- Remove bat guano above the Dining Room, Kitchen and in guest room walls and replace or patch affected finishes.
- Construct an exterior bat roost to assist in removing the bats from the Hotel and patch detectable bat access holes in the building.
- Replace deteriorated exterior-egress stairs outside of the North Bridge and the Dining Room to meet fire code.

**Project Need/Benefit:** The Many Glacier Hotel is a large, impressive structure designed by St. Paul Minnesota architect, Thomas D. McMahon, and built from 1914 to 1917 at a cost of \$500,000. The hotel represents an important period in the development of the National Park Service and is a highly recognized National Historic Landmark. The hotel is the primary guest service facility in the Many Glacier Valley and is of great importance for serving park visitors. The 141,103-square-foot hotel is essentially a wood-frame structure with stone, masonry, steel, and concrete added as structural components. As a result of this standard construction, which closely resembles simple residential framing, problems have developed over the years due to the harsh climatic conditions and deferred maintenance. Following completion of the park's recent General Management Plan, three baseline studies were completed on the Many Glacier Hotel in 2001 (Condition Assessment, Draft Historic Structures Report, and Life Safety Assessment). The hotel was found to require major rehabilitation work to be compliant with building, life safety, and accessibility codes and standards.

Rehabilitation will protect the 1.7 million park visitors and 200 concessionaire and park employees who use the facility annually as well as preserving the hotel's historic integrity. The three studies mentioned above were followed by a Capital Improvement & Implementation Plan that outlined a multi-year program to complete rehabilitation of the hotel. Other projects completed from 2002-2004 provided for repairs to the exterior building envelope, roof, balconies, and immediate life safety issues that have allowed the hotel to continue operation until long term repairs are made. As indicated above, this project will prepare the Many Glacier Hotel for additional projects that will each focus on complete rehabilitation and/or preservation of a different section of the hotel to eliminate the remaining serious structural, and life safety issues affecting the continued operation of the hotel.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

45 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred	10 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
45 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

<b>Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:</b> YES: X NO:	<b>Total Project Score:</b> 805
--	---------------------------------

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost</b>	<b>\$'s</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Project Funding History:</b>	
<b>Estimate:</b>			<b>Appropriated to Date:</b>	\$ 0
Deferred Maintenance	\$758,000	100	<b>Requested in FY 2006 Budget:</b>	\$ 758,000
<b>Work :</b>			<b>Required to Complete Project:</b>	\$ TBD
Capital Improvement	\$0	0	<b>Project Total:</b>	\$ 758,000
<b>Work:</b>				
Total Component	\$758,000	100		
<b>Estimate:</b>				
<b>Class of Estimate:</b>	B			
<b>Estimate Good Until:</b>	09/30/06			
<b>Dates:</b>	<b>Sch'd (qtr/fy)</b>		<b>Project Data Sheet</b>	<b>Unchanged Since</b>
Construction Start/Award	1/2006		Prepared/Last Updated: 1/20/2005	Departmental Approval:
Project Complete:	4/2006			YES: NO: X

**Annual Operations Costs**

<b>Current:</b>	<b>Projected:</b>	<b>Net</b>
\$ 0	\$ 0	Change: \$ 0

## NEW MEXICO

**Park Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2006
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Request
	03 Aztec Ruins NM	960	1,013	28	0	1,041
	03 Bandelier NM	2,491	2,549	105	0	2,654
	03 Capulin Volcano NM	609	651	16	0	667
	02 Carlsbad Caverns NP	5,231	5,343	143	0	5,486
	03 Chaco Culture NHP	1,764	1,950	40	0	1,990
	02 El Malpais NM	1,041	1,116	34	0	1,150
	03 El Morro NM	558	573	13	0	586
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	99	0	0	99
	Total El Morro NM	[558]	[672]	[13]	[0]	[685]
	03 Fort Union NM	674	773	20	0	793
	02 Gila Cliff Dwellings NM	216	370	4	0	374
	03 Pecos NHP	1,291	1,324	28	0	1,352
	01 Petroglyph NM	1,597	1,478	34	0	1,512
01,02	Salinas Pueblo Missions NM	1,201	1,234	43	0	1,277
	02 White Sands NM	1,351	1,387	34	0	1,421

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup> These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

**NEW MEXICO**

**FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases**

NONE

## NEW MEXICO

**Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
El Camino Real de Tierra Adentro NHT <sup>1</sup>	70	72	0	0	72
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase <sup>2</sup>	0	39	0	0	39
Total El Camino Real de Tierra Adentro NHT	[70]	[111]	[0]	[0]	[111]
Masau Trail	34	34	0	0	34
Old Spanish NHT <sup>1</sup>	70	72	0	0	72
Route 66 NHH	293	301	0	0	301
Santa Fe NHT	631	650	19	0	669
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	24	0	0	24
Total Santa Fe NHT	[631]	[674]	[19]	[0]	[693]

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup> Jointly administered with BLM

<sup>2</sup> These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).



**NEW MEXICO (IMR)**  
**FY 2006 Proposed Program**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u><b>Park Area</b></u>	<u><b>Type of Project</b></u>
Aztec Ruins NM	Ongoing Project
Capulin Volcano NM	Ongoing Project
Fort Union NM	Potential New Start
Gila Cliff Dwellings NM	Potential New Start
Old Spanish Trail NHT	Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u><b>Study Area</b></u>	<u><b>Type of Project</b></u>
Bandelier NP, Ecosystem Restoration Plan	Ongoing Project
Manhattan Project Sites	Ongoing Project

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

<u><b>Park Area</b></u>	<u><b>Project Title</b></u>	<u><b>Funds</b></u>
Chaco Culture NHP	Replace and Upgrade Curation Facilities in Partnership with University of New Mexico	\$4,238

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$603

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	<b>650</b>
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	<b>2006</b>
<b>Funding Source:</b>	<b>Line Item Construction</b>

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> <b>Replace &amp; Upgrade Curation Facilities in Partnership with University of New Mexico</b>		
<b>Project No:</b> 039584	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Chaco Culture National Historical Park	
<b>Region:</b> Intermountain	<b>Congressional District:</b> 03	<b>State:</b> NM

**Project Justification**

<b>FCI-Before:</b> NA (not an NPS asset)	<b>FCI-Projected:</b> NA	<b>API:</b> NA
--	--------------------------	----------------

**Project Description:** This project will reimburse the University of New Mexico (UNM) for the NPS share of the construction costs of a new curation and research facility and fund the tenant improvements for the NPS occupied space in the new facility. The NPS and UNM have a partnership that dates back to the 1930s when UNM conducted field schools in Chaco Canyon. Together, NPS and UNM curate the largest, most comprehensive, and best-documented research collection from Chaco Culture NHP, a UNESCO World Heritage Site. UNESCO recognizes natural and cultural sites of world-wide importance held in trust for all mankind. Since 1990, the park and UNM have collaborated in the planning of a facility for collections storage and research to meet the standards set in 39 CFR 79. This facility will house NPS collections from the park and from other interested New Mexico Anasazi park units.

**Project Need/Benefit:** The need for this project is to professionally and appropriately curate, preserve, and make available for study, interpretation, and public exhibition the diverse archaeological collections from the Southwest. Through on-going Cooperative Agreements, the NPS and UNM archaeological and archival research collections from prehistoric Anasazi sites are stored in five Maxwell Museum of Anthropology (MMA) locations on the UNM campus. The 5 million+ artifacts in the park and Maxwell Museum of Anthropology (MMA) museum collections are stored in 5 separate buildings, including an unremodeled 2-bay car wash. UNM and the park are not in compliance with legal mandates for curating federal collections. 36 CFR 79 states that a federal collection should be stored in a single repository; should be in a dedicated facility for storage, study, and conservation; and should be stored under physically secure and environmentally protected conditions. Current storage of federal collections on the UNM campus meets none of these standards.

None have environmental or climatic controls; fire detection and suppression systems; dedicated access; integrated security systems; or adequate space for storage, processing, work or research. A joint NPS-UNM facility is the most cost-effective means to responsibly conserve and preserve the Chaco collections. This facility will completely eliminate all threats to the collection, with state-of-the art, zoned environmental controls, security, and fire detection systems, and will accommodate the current collection as well as anticipated growth for the next 25 years. The facility will provide park managers and researchers access to comprehensive Chaco Canyon artifact, archival, and data collections in a single location, increasing efficiency in resource management and promoting research.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
50 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
50 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

<b>Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:</b> YES: NO: <b>X</b>	<b>Total Project Score:</b> 650
---	---------------------------------

**Project Costs and Status**

<u>Project Cost</u>	<u>\$'s</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Project Funding History:</u>	
<b>Estimate:</b>			<b>Appropriated to Date:</b>	\$ 0
Deferred Maintenance	\$2,119,000	50	<b>Requested in FY 2006 Budget:</b>	\$ 4,238,000
Work :			<b>Required to Complete Project:</b>	\$ 0
Capital Improvement	\$2,119,000	50	<b>Project Total:</b>	\$ 4,238,000
Work:				
Total Component	\$4,238,000	100		
<b>Estimate:</b>				
<b>Class of Estimate:</b> B				
<b>Estimate Good Until:</b> 09/30/06				
<b>Dates:</b> Sch'd (qtr/fy)			<b>Project Data Sheet</b>	<b>Unchanged Since</b>
Construction Start/Award	2/2006		Prepared/Last Updated: 1/20/2005	Departmental
Project Complete:	1/2007			Approval: YES: NO: <b>X</b>

**Annual Operations Costs**

<b>Current:</b>	<b>Projected:</b>	<b>Net Change:</b>
\$ 0 (Partner)	\$ 0 (Partner)	\$ 0

## OKLAHOMA

**Park Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
	03 Chickasaw NRA	2,960	3,032	60	0	3,092
	02 Fort Smith NHS	788	810	19	0	829
	06 Washita Battlefield NHS	624	640	4	0	644

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## OKLAHOMA

### FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

## OKLAHOMA

**Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
Oklahoma City Natl Memorial	711	732	14	0	746
Santa Fe NHT	631	650	19	0	669
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	24	0	0	24
Total Santa Fe NHT	[631]	[674]	[19]	[0]	[693]
Trail of Tears NHT	296	304	0	0	304
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	29	0	0	29
Total Trail of Tears NHT	[296]	[333]	[0]	[0]	[333]

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup>These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

**OKLAHOMA (IMR)**  
**FY 2006 Proposed Program**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

**Park Area**

**Type of Project**

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

**Study Area**

**Type of Project**

Chickasaw NRA Personal

Watercraft Management

Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$627

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

**OKLAHOMA (MWR)**  
**FY 2006 Proposed Program**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$627

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
None

## TEXAS

**Park Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2006
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Request
	23 Amistad NRA	2,720	3,136	50	0	3,186
	23 Big Bend NP	4,995	5,492	153	0	5,645
	02,09 Big Thicket National Preserve	2,251	2,310	59	0	2,369
	16 Chamizal Natl Memorial	1,884	1,934	32	0	1,966
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	99	0	0	99
	Total Chamizal Natl Memorial	[1,884]	[2,033]	[32]	[0]	[2,065]
	23 Fort Davis NHS	986	1,039	28	0	1,067
	23 Guadalupe Mountains NP	2,321	2,376	61	0	2,437
	13,19 Lake Meredith NRA & Alibates Flint Quarry NM	2,050	2,150	61	0	2,211
	14,21 Lyndon B Johnson NHP	3,139	3,361	80	0	3,441
	27 Padre Island NS	3,504	3,599	80	0	3,679
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	99	0	0	99
	Total Padre Island NS	[3,504]	[3,698]	[80]	[0]	[3,778]
	27 Palo Alto Battlefield NHS	727	909	14	0	923
	23 Rio Grande W&S River	184	188	0	0	188
	28 San Antonio Missions NHP	3,091	3,175	71	0	3,246

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup> These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).



## TEXAS

### FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

## TEXAS

**Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
El Camino Real de Tierra Adentro NHT <sup>1</sup>	70	72	0	0	72
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase <sup>2</sup>	0	39	0	0	39
Total El Camino Real de Tierra Adentro NHT	[70]	[111]	[0]	[0]	[111]

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup> Jointly administered with BLM

<sup>2</sup>These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

**TEXAS (IMR)**  
**FY 2006 Proposed Program**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Amistad NRA	Ongoing Project
Big Thicket Natl Pres	Ongoing Project
Guadalupe Mountains NP	Ongoing Project
Padre Island NS	Ongoing Project
Rio Grande Wild & Scenic River	Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Amistad NRA Personal Watercraft Management	Ongoing Study
Big Thicket Norse Personal Watercraft Management	Ongoing Study
Buffalo Bayou Heritage	Ongoing Study
Lake Meredith NRA Personal Watercraft Management	Ongoing Study
Padre Island NS Personal Watercraft Management	Ongoing Study
Waco Mammoth Site	Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Remarks</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Big Thicket NPres	4,032 acres	\$8,000

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Amistad NRA	Upgrade Water and Wastewater Systems at Diablo East	\$1,003

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$914

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	<b>940</b>
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	<b>2006</b>
<b>Funding Source:</b>	<b>Line Item Construction</b>

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Upgrade Water and Wastewater System at Diablo East		
<b>Project No:</b> 060160	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Amistad National Recreation Area	
<b>Region:</b> Intermountain	<b>Congressional District:</b> 23	<b>State:</b> TX

**Project Justification**

<b>FCI-Before:</b> 0.50	<b>FCI-Projected:</b> 0.00	<b>API:</b> 28
<b>Project Description:</b> This project will rehabilitate the water distribution and wastewater collection piping system and the water booster pump system; construct three new lift stations, a new well, security fencing for the potable water system, and a new fish cleaning station; coat and seal the concrete water reservoir; and replace the water system valves and vaults.		
<b>Project Need/Benefit:</b> The water and wastewater systems servicing these facilities are 25 to 30 years old. The wastewater system consists of gravity sewer collection mains, three lift stations with separate wet wells and mechanical rooms and pressure sewer mains. Any maintenance performed on the mechanical equipment requires a Confined Space Entry permit. Mechanical vaults are approximately 24 feet deep and they do not have required fall protection, adequate ventilation or properly sized man-ways for ingress and egress. The need to conduct frequent repairs on these lift stations is an unnecessary risk to employee safety. The systems have to be monitored constantly due to them not operating properly. If the systems are not monitored and pumped on schedule, wastewater will over flow in the surrounding area and will contaminate surface and ground water with raw sewage. The system is located uphill from Lake Amistad and could contaminate drinking water and swim areas, making them unsafe. If the wastewater collection system fails the result would be no sanitary facilities at the most popular area of the park. The water system is unreliable and is often out of service. The original system was not designed for the current needs of the park. The pressure tanks and booster pumps provide distribution pressure for the water system. These pumps and motors and associated electrical controls are no longer cost effective to repair. Seven of the thirteen control valves are seized and will not operate. Failure to make extensive repairs will result in a continued loss of drinking water and low pressure events. A lack of drinking water at this location would adversely affect visitor and employee health. Currently the facilities have to be closed to the visitors without prior notice until temporary repairs or pumping can be completed. In the past year the comfort stations have been shut down 5 times while repairs were made to the lift stations. The fish cleaning station has been closed 17 times due to electrical, plumbing failures or fish hooks locking up the grinder pump. If this project is not completed, the systems will eventually shut down permanently or until repairs can be made. Due to the age and condition of the potable and wastewater system, concern for the safety and welfare of the park visitors has been expressed by park maintenance personnel. The system is located just uphill from the lake and with the lack of top soil; the limestone in the area will carry any spills directly to the lake.		
<b>Ranking Categories:</b> Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need. 90 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance      0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance 0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement      10 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance 0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance      0 % Other Capital Improvement 0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement		
<b>Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:</b> YES: NO: X		<b>Total Project Score:</b> 940

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b> \$'s Deferred Maintenance Work : \$1,003,000 Capital Improvement Work: \$0 Total Component Estimate: \$1,003,000 Class of Estimate: B Estimate Good Until: 09/30/06 Dates: Sch'd (qtr/fy) Construction Start/Award: 1/2006 Project Complete: 4/2006	<b>Project Funding History:</b> Appropriated to Date: \$ 0 Requested in FY 2006 Budget: \$ 1,003,000 Required to Complete Project: \$ 0 Project Total: \$ 1,003,000 Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated: 1/21/2005 Unchanged Since Departmental Approval: YES: NO: X
---	--

**Annual Operations Costs**

<b>Current:</b>	<b>Projected:</b>	<b>Net Change:</b>
\$ 86,000	\$ 32,000	\$ (54,000)

## **Fiscal Year 2006 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program**

---

**Program or Park Area:** Big Thicket National Preserve

**National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2006):** Priority No. 2

**Location:** Vicinity of Beaumont, Texas.

**State/County/Congressional District:** State of Texas/Hardin, Jasper, Jefferson, Liberty, Orange, Polk, and Tyler Counties/Congressional Districts No. 2 and 9.

**Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining:** There is no limitation.

**Cost Detail:**

Estimated annual operational costs, including maintenance, of \$152,000 are associated with this acquisition.

Date	Acres	Total Amount (\$000)
FY 2006 Request	4,032	<b>\$8,000</b>
Future Funding Need	0	\$0

*The total amount includes cost of: title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, relocation assistance.*

FY 2005: \$4.478 million appropriated

FY 2004: \$3.456 million appropriated

FY 2003: \$3.985 million appropriated

**Improvements:** None

**Description:** Big Thicket National Preserve was authorized October 11, 1974, to preserve the natural, scenic, and recreational resources of a significant portion of the Big Thicket area. The Act of July 1, 1993, added to the Preserve 10,766 acres of timberland owned by three timber companies. The act directed that: (a) privately owned lands be acquired only with the consent of the owner, (b) lands owned by commercial timber companies be acquired only by donation or exchange, and (c) lands owned by the State of Texas or any political subdivision thereof may be acquired only by donation.

**Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal:** A great variety of plant and animal species coexist in this "biological crossroads of North America." One of the most outstanding recreational opportunities available (wildland canoeing) in the region will be protected through this action.

**Threat:** Timbering of these lands would lead to loss of incredible recreational opportunities, and earthmoving activities would significantly impair the water quality and biological diversity of the tracts, including a number of rare or endangered species

**Need:** Funds in the amount of \$8,000,000 are needed to complete the acquisition of the acres added to the preserve in 1993 and previously owned by two timber companies. Both The Conservation Fund and The Nature Conservancy are assisting the Service in the purchase of the 1993 addition.

**Interaction with Landowners and Partners:** By letter of March 9, 2001, the National Park Service requested the concurrence of The Conservation Fund (TCF) with a proposal that TCF assist in the acquisition of the lands added to the preserve in 1993. The concurrence of TCF was granted on April 11, 2001. A similar letter was sent to The Nature Conservancy (TNC) on October 17, 2001. TNC concurred on November 1, 2001. The landowners are willing sellers.

**DOI Strategic Goal:** Recreation: ensure a quality experience of natural and cultural resources on DOI lands.

## UTAH

**Park Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2006
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Request
	03 Arches NP	1,140	1,168	47	0	1,215
	03 Bryce Canyon NP	2,674	2,768	80	0	2,848
	03 Canyonlands NP	5,334	5,294	148	0	5,442
	03 Capitol Reef NP	1,856	1,923	54	0	1,977
	01 Cedar Breaks NM	346	354	10	0	364
	03 Dinosaur NM	2,777	2,865	78	0	2,943
	03 Glen Canyon NRA	9,256	9,828	224	0	10,052
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	20	0	0	20
	Total Glen Canyon NRA	[9,256]	[9,848]	[224]	[0]	[10,072]
	01 Golden Spike NHS	698	810	17	0	827
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	74	0	0	74
	Total Golden Spike NHS	[698]	[884]	[17]	[0]	[901]
	03 Hovenweep NM	493	506	6	0	512
	03 Natural Bridges NM	432	444	13	0	457
	03 Rainbow Bridge NM	101	104	0	0	104
	03 Timpanogos Cave NM	703	744	38	0	782
	01,03 Zion NP	6,008	6,153	243	0	6,396

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup> These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

## UTAH

### FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

## UTAH

**Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
California NHT	246	253	0	0	253
Mormon Pioneer NHT	125	128	0	0	128
Old Spanish NHT <sup>1</sup>	70	72	0	0	72
Pony Express NHT	177	182	0	0	182
Utah State Coordinator	122	297	2	0	299

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup> Jointly administered with BLM



**UTAH (IMR)**  
**FY 2006 Proposed Program**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Bryce Canyon NP	Potential New Start
Old Spanish Trail NHT	Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Capital Reef NP, Burr Trail Study	Ongoing Study
Glen Canyon NRA Personal	
Watercraft Management	Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$594

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

None

## WYOMING

**Park Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual park operating base for all park units within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park unit is in more than one state, then the park unit is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2006
		Enacted	Estimate	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Request
	00 Bighorn Canyon NRA	2,616	3,078	74	0	3,152
	00 Devils Tower NM	768	849	26	0	875
	00 Fort Laramie NHS	1,196	1,230	34	0	1,264
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	87	0	0	87
	Total Fort Laramie NHS	[1,196]	[1,317]	[34]	[0]	[1,351]
	00 Fossil Butte NM	520	679	14	0	693
	00 Grand Teton NP	9,351	10,018	308	0	10,326
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	157	0	0	157
	Total Grand Teton NP	[9,351]	[10,175]	[308]	[0]	[10,483]
	00 John D Rockefeller Jr Mem Parkway	461	474	10	0	484
	00 Yellowstone NP	28,093	29,208	860	0	30,068
	FY 2005 Visitor Service Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	637	0	0	637
	Total Yellowstone NP	[28,093]	[29,845]	[860]	[0]	[30,705]

All FY 2006 increases consist of uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits. Fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include funding for Trails and Other Affiliated Areas that are not park units, nor programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds to these entities and programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup> These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

## WYOMING

### FY 2006 Programmatic Park Base Increases

NONE

## WYOMING

**Trails and Other Affiliated Areas Operational Base Summary:** The table below shows the annual operating base for all Trails and Other Field Offices and Affiliated Areas that are not park units, within this state.

If a trail or affiliated area is in more than one state, it is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operational base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the operational base between two or more states.

	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2006 Program Changes	FY 2006 Request
Trails and Affiliated Areas					
California NHT	246	253	0	0	253
Mormon Pioneer NHT	125	128	0	0	128
Oregon NHT	213	219	8	0	227
FY 2005 Visitor Services Increase <sup>1</sup>	0	34	0	0	34
Total Oregon NHT	[213]	[253]	[8]	[0]	[261]
Pony Express NHT	177	182	0	0	182

FY 2006 fleet and management efficiency savings have yet to be distributed at the entity level.

This table does not include funding for programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the following pages. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup>These funds are part of a total \$12.478 million distributed to 67 parks, 10 trails, 3 affiliated areas, and servicewide trail GIS support that was provided in FY 2005 to bolster visitor services. These funds are not considered a permanent addition to any of the parks' operational base funding. The continuation of these funds beyond FY 2005 is contingent upon a review of park base operations at all parks prior to distribution of the enacted FY 2006 appropriation. Should this examination determine that the funds could be more efficiently utilized to provide services at other parks, the funds will be moved (subject to reprogramming guidelines).

**WYOMING (IMR)**  
**FY 2006 Proposed Program**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE OPERATING ACCOUNT:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
Grand Teton NP                                      Potential New Start

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Grand Teton NP, Bison/Elk Management EIS	Ongoing Study
Grand Teton NP, Winter Use Plan/EIS	Ongoing Study
Yellowstone NP, Bison EIS	Ongoing Study
Yellowstone/Grand Teton NP, Winter Use Plan/EIS	Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Grand Teton NP	Rehabilitate 13 Historic Buildings for Western Preservation Center at White Grass Dude Ranch	\$1,673
Yellowstone NP	Replace Old Faithful Visitor Center	\$11,175
Yellowstone NP	Restore West Wing of Old House at Old Faithful Inn	\$11,118
Yellowstone NP	Replace Madison Wastewater Facilities	\$4,114

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Grand Teton National Park	Rehabilitate Road	\$130
Grand Teton National Park	Rehabilitate Road	\$3,500

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$546

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
None

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	<b>645</b>
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	<b>2006</b>
<b>Funding Source:</b>	<b>Line Item Construction</b>

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> <b>Rehabilitate 13 Historic Buildings for Western Preservation Center at White Grass Dude Ranch</b>		
<b>Project No:</b> <b>094384</b>	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> <b>Grand Teton National Park</b>	
<b>Region:</b> <b>Intermountain</b>	<b>Congressional District:</b> <b>AL</b>	<b>State:</b> <b>WY</b>

**Project Justification**

<b>FCI-Before:</b> <b>0.45</b>	<b>FCI-Projected:</b> <b>0.00</b>	<b>API:</b> <b>21</b>
--------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------

**Project Description:** In partnership with the National Trust, Grand Teton National Park proposes to rehabilitate and adaptively reuse the 13 historic buildings at White Grass Dude Ranch, located west of the park's headquarters, as a Western Preservation Center for Training and Technology. The Center will teach National Park Service employees and volunteers how to preserve, rehabilitate, and find new sustainable uses for historic rustic buildings in national parks in the Intermountain Region, initially focusing on structures in Grand Teton and Yellowstone national parks. These structures are in poor condition, including major deterioration to the roofs, sill logs, and foundations. These structures will be rehabilitated and adaptively reused for office space, a classroom, a shop, and housing for Center employees and trainees. In addition, utilities, including water, sewage, and electric, will be updated, and the historic road/entrance will be stabilized. Access to the center will be via a newly constructed short spur road from the existing adjacent Death Canyon road. The exact furnishings needed for the Center are undetermined at this time.

The park will submit a separate funding proposal for this component in the future. It is estimated this one-time project will take up to 3 years to complete using park personnel, contractors, and volunteer laborers. The project will begin once funding is acquired, and it will be the first Center project to provide "hands-on" training. Harrison Goodall, a log expert and park contractor, conducted condition assessments and cost estimates for the 13 buildings in October of 2002.

**Project Need/Benefit:** The need for NPS employees in Grand Teton and the Intermountain Region to learn historic preservation technology and techniques for rustic architecture is immediate. The training, technology, and skills necessary to preserve, rehabilitate, restore, and maintain rustic structures are simply not available in today's western parks and surrounding communities. A center to develop those skills is necessary to address a large backlog of preservation and maintenance needs for rustic buildings park- and region-wide. The adaptive reuse of White Grass, the third oldest dude ranch in Jackson Hole Valley, will save the rustic log buildings from becoming irretrievable ruins, and it will eliminate the hazardous and unsafe conditions that currently exist at the abandoned buildings. White Grass Dude Ranch was listed in the National Register of Historic Places in April 1990. It is significant locally, if not regionally, because as a dude ranch it helped define and set the standards for the Jackson Hole dude ranching industry.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

<b>10 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance</b>	<b>15 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance</b>
<b>0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement</b>	<b>10 % Compliance &amp; Other Deferred Maintenance</b>
<b>65 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance</b>	<b>0 % Other Capital Improvement</b>
<b>0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement</b>	

**Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:** YES: NO: **X** **Total Project Score:** **645**

**Project Costs and Status**

<u>Project Cost</u>	<u>\$'s</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Project Funding History:</u>	
<b>Estimate:</b>			<b>Appropriated to Date:</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>
Deferred Maintenance	\$1,673,000	100	<b>Requested in FY 2006 Budget:</b>	<b>\$ 1,673,000</b>
<b>Work :</b>			<b>Required to Complete Project:</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>
Capital Improvement	\$0	0	<b>Project Total:</b>	<b>\$ 1,673,000</b>
<b>Work:</b>				
Total Component	\$1,673,000	100		
<b>Estimate:</b>				
<b>Class of Estimate:</b>	<b>B</b>			
<b>Estimate Good Until:</b>	<b>09/30/06</b>			
<b>Dates:</b>	<b>Sch'd (qtr/fy)</b>		<b>Project Data Sheet</b>	<b>Unchanged Since</b>
<b>Construction Start/Award</b>	<b>1/2006</b>		<b>Prepared/Last Updated:</b> <b>1/20/2005</b>	<b>Departmental Approval:</b>
<b>Project Complete:</b>	<b>4/2007</b>			<b>YES: NO: X</b>

**Annual Operations Costs**

<b>Current:</b>	<b>Projected:</b>	<b>Change:</b> <b>\$</b>
<b>\$ 5,000</b>	<b>\$ 160,000</b>	<b>155,000</b>

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	<b>290</b>
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	<b>2006</b>
<b>Funding Source:</b>	<b>Line Item Construction</b>

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Replace Old Faithful Visitor Center		
<b>Project No:</b> 069189		<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Yellowstone National Park
<b>Region:</b> Intermountain	<b>Congressional District:</b> AL	<b>State:</b> WY

**Project Justification**

<b>FCI-Before:</b> 0.11	<b>FCI-Projected:</b> 0.00	<b>API:</b> 21
-------------------------	----------------------------	----------------

**Project Description:** This project will replace the current deficient visitor center with a new, state-of-the-art, visitor education center to provide critical visitor information and orientation services and enhance resource and visitor protection through interpretive exhibits and educational programs. The new center will be 33,300 square feet and will include a lobby with indoor and outdoor orientation areas with interactive kiosks, restrooms, a bookstore, a backcountry permit office, two theaters, indoor and outdoor exhibit areas (including dedicated space in which to exhibit artwork and other objects from the park's museum collection), a classroom for educational programs, a geothermal research library, and administrative space. The facility is being designed to allow for gradual opening and closing of various spaces in order to accommodate seasonal fluctuations in visitation and to reduce operating costs during non-peak periods. The building will be fully accessible, energy efficient, sustainable in design, and built using "green" construction materials and techniques.

The estimated total project cost for the new facility is \$27,947,000. Of this amount, the nonprofit Yellowstone Park Foundation is committed to raising \$15 million. The remaining \$12,947,000 is the responsibility of the National Park Service (NPS) and is to be funded through construction planning accounts and this request.

**Project Need/Benefit:** The current visitor center is substantially outdated; it cannot accommodate existing or anticipated levels of visitation to the area (85% of the park's 3.2 million visitors, i.e., 2.6 million people annually, visit the Old Faithful area); it offers no interpretive exhibits; it is energy inefficient; auditorium seating is grossly inadequate; there is no room for backcountry permit operations; and interior spaces are so tiny that the vast majority of visitors do not receive assistance because they cannot get into the building due to overflow crowd conditions. As a result, visitors currently leave the Old Faithful area confused and without an understanding of the significance of the park or the fact that they are in the midst of the largest concentration of active geysers on Earth. The new visitor center will provide critical visitor services including information and orientation necessary to successfully and safely visit the park and the Upper Geyser Basin (the geyser basin in which Old Faithful Geyser and 200 other active geysers are found), and interpretation and education focused on the rare and outstanding geothermal phenomena of Yellowstone. Currently, there are no exhibits to interpret Yellowstone's geothermal features, which are considered one of Yellowstone's primary/premiere resources and were a significant factor in the park's establishment. Unlike the existing structure, the replacement visitor center will fit gracefully into the historic Old Faithful landscape, and it will reflect the importance and value of its surroundings.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

15 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	15 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	5 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	65 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

**Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:** YES: X NO:

**Total Project Score:** 290

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost</b>		<b>Project Funding History:</b>	
<b>Estimate:</b>	<b>\$'s</b>	<b>%</b>	
Deferred Maintenance	\$8,381,000	75	Appropriated to Date: \$ 0
Work :			
Capital Improvement	\$2,794,000	25	Requested in FY 2006 Budget: \$ 11,175,000
Work:			
Total Component	\$11,175,000	100	Required to Complete Project: \$ 0
<b>Estimate:</b>			<b>Project Total:</b> \$ 11,175,000
<b>Class of Estimate:</b>	C		
<b>Estimate Good Until:</b>	09/30/06		
<b>Dates:</b>	Sch'd (qtr/fy)		
<b>Construction Start/Award</b>	3 / 2006		<b>Project Data Sheet</b> Prepared/Last Updated: 1/20/2005
<b>Project Complete:</b>	4 / 2008		<b>Unchanged Since</b> Departmental Approval: YES: NO: X

**Annual Operations Costs**

<b>Current:</b>	<b>Projected:</b>	<b>Change:</b>
\$ 336,000	\$ 682,000	\$ 346,000

National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:	925
Planned Funding FY:	2006
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

Project Identification

Project Title: <a href="#">Restore West Wing of Old House at Old Faithful Inn</a>
Project No: <a href="#">083977</a> Unit/Facility Name: <a href="#">Yellowstone National Park</a>
Region: <a href="#">Intermountain</a> Congressional District: <a href="#">AL</a> State: <a href="#">WY</a>

Project Justification

FCI-Before: <a href="#">0.37</a>	FCI-Projected: <a href="#">0.10</a>	API: <a href="#">38</a>
----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------

**Project Description:** This project will upgrade utility infrastructure, provide structural stabilization, and improve fire/safety in the West Wing of the Old House at the Old Faithful Inn including Wuthering Heights and Bats' Alley. Original walls will be reinstalled and new walls added to provide compliance with current zone-four seismic requirements. Historically compatible improvements meeting ADA requirements and correcting life/safety deficiencies will be made. Fire systems will be upgraded; improvements will be made to correct fire ratings for the corridors, public spaces and guest rooms. The sprinkler system, electrical and mechanical infrastructures will be upgraded. The West Wing elevator will be replaced. ADA-compliant rooms and restrooms, and areas of refuge will be constructed. The architectural features of the public space and the guestrooms shall not be altered other than to improve the quality of the original historic fabric both on the interior and exterior of the building. The mechanical and electrical systems, including the fire suppression system, shall be buried in the floors and walls to minimize the impact on the architectural character of the building.

Original lighting shall be retained but rewired. The antiquated and outdated systems shall be replaced and upgraded to meet current life/safety codes. The building shall be upgraded structurally to meet seismic requirements while still retaining the architectural character of the spaces. The mechanical equipment space in Wuthering Heights will be rehabilitated. Bat's Alley will be rehabilitated to house today's administrative needs. West Wing and kitchen roofs will be replaced. Dormers will be reconstructed upgrading the framing.

**Project Need/Benefit:** The Old Faithful Inn, a National Historic Landmark, is a distinctive example of rustic style architecture. The Inn includes a total of 327 guest rooms with total guest occupancy of 1,044. The Old House has retained most of its original architecture and historical integrity but has deteriorated due to deferred maintenance and the age of its building systems. Substantial rehabilitation and preservation maintenance has occurred at the Old Faithful Inn since 1980, but very little work has been accomplished in the Old House. Since 1904, the building has experienced some of the most severe gravity and lateral loading in the lower 48 states. The building is subject to winter snow loads in excess of 100 psf. The building has also lived through several earthquakes in one of the most active seismic areas in the United States. Electrical, mechanical, fire sprinkler and fire alarm systems in the West Wing are at the end of their useful life and do not meet current fire/life safety requirements.

This project will protect the resource, reduce life/safety risks, and rehabilitate or replace deteriorated historic fabric. This work will ensure preservation of this significant cultural resource and reduce the life/safety risks to the overnight guests housed in the Inn.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

75 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
25 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: ☒ NO: ☐ Total Project Score: [925](#)

Project Costs and Status

<b>Project Cost</b> <table> <tr> <td>Estimate:</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>Deferred Maintenance</td><td>\$11,118,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Capital Improvement</td><td>\$0</td></tr> <tr> <td>Total</td><td>\$11,118,000</td></tr> </table>	Estimate:		Deferred Maintenance	\$11,118,000	Capital Improvement	\$0	Total	\$11,118,000	<b>Project Funding History:</b> <table> <tr> <td>Appropriated to Date:</td><td>\$ 0</td></tr> <tr> <td>Requested in FY 2006 Budget:</td><td>\$ 11,118,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Required to Complete Project:</td><td>\$ 0</td></tr> <tr> <td>Project Total:</td><td>\$ 11,118,000</td></tr> </table>	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0	Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$ 11,118,000	Required to Complete Project:	\$ 0	Project Total:	\$ 11,118,000
Estimate:																	
Deferred Maintenance	\$11,118,000																
Capital Improvement	\$0																
Total	\$11,118,000																
Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0																
Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$ 11,118,000																
Required to Complete Project:	\$ 0																
Project Total:	\$ 11,118,000																
Class of Estimate: <a href="#">C</a> Estimate Good Until: <a href="#">09/30/06</a>																	
<b>Dates:</b> <a href="#">Sch'd (qtr/fy)</a> Construction Start/Award: <a href="#">2 / 2006</a> Project Complete: <a href="#">4 / 2007</a>	Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated: <a href="#">1/20/2005</a> Unchanged Since Departmental Approval: YES: <input type="checkbox"/> NO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																

Annual Operations Costs

Current:	Projected:	Net Change:
\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0



**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	<b>865</b>
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	<b>2006</b>
<b>Funding Source:</b>	<b>Line Item Construction</b>

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> <b>Replace Madison Wastewater Facilities</b>		
<b>Project No:</b> <b>019892</b>	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> <b>Yellowstone National Park</b>	
<b>Region:</b> <b>Intermountain</b>	<b>Congressional District:</b> <b>00</b>	<b>State:</b> <b>WY</b>

**Project Justification**

<b>FCI-Before:</b> <b>0.14</b>	<b>FCI-Projected:</b> <b>0.05</b>	<b>API:</b> <b>34</b>
--------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------

**Project Description:** This project would replace the existing seasonal-use, trickling-filter wastewater treatment facility at the Madison Area with a year-round lagoon system that can effectively treat various flow rates at widely divergent ambient temperatures. The capacity is presently estimated to be 150,000 gallons per day. This project would also replace and/or rehabilitate the percolation disposal system to handle all seasons and flows and would provide for storage and/or standby power to prevent overflows during power outages or equipment failure in order to prevent sewage spills into the Madison River.

**Project Need/Benefit:** The existing treatment system was constructed around 1959. The system's original treatment methods and equipment have not worked well with variable seasonal uses and the climate. Modifications were made in 1966, 1974 and 1984 to try to improve the operation of the plant and to meet increasing uses. The equipment is worn out and a major failure is anticipated. The treatment is marginal during the summer season, and the plant is not capable of running from October to May, despite nearly 87,000 people stopping at the Madison warming hut and restroom during the winter season. Raw sewage is stored during this period until the liquids can be manually pumped to the percolation ponds. The solids remain untreated in the holding pond. The system has no backup power or overflow tanks to handle the sewage flow during equipment failure or power outages. Both situations occur and the partially treated sewage runs to a meadow that drains by the campground to the Madison River. Minor failures have resulted in the closure of the campground and picnic area comfort stations. The anticipated major failure would result in the closure of the 300-site concessionaire-operated campground, the picnic area, the museum, and the housing and administrative area that serves these facilities. The winter warming hut and comfort station would also be shut down. Permanent employees would have to be moved to other areas of the park. Major failure would also contaminate the environment and degrade the water quality in the Madison River.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

75 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	15 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
10 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

<b>Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:</b> YES: NO: x	<b>Total Project Score:</b> <b>865</b>
--	--

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost</b>		<b>\$'s</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Project Funding History:</b>	
<b>Estimate:</b>					
Deferred Maintenance		\$4,114,000	100	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0
<b>Work :</b>					
Capital Improvement		\$0	0	Requested in FY 2006 Budget:	\$ 4,114,000
<b>Work:</b>					
Total Project Estimate:		\$4,114,000	100	Required to Complete Project:	\$ 0
Class of Estimate:		B		Project Total:	\$ 4,114,000
Estimate Good Until:		9/30/06			
<b>Dates:</b>		<b>Sch'd (qtr/yy)</b>			
Construction Start/Award		4 / 2006		Project Data Sheet	Unchanged Since
Project Complete:		4 / 2009		Prepared/Last Updated: 1/19/05	Departmental
					Approval: YES: NO:
					x

**Annual Operations Costs**

<b>Current:</b>	<b>Projected:</b>	<b>Net</b>
\$ 72,000	\$85,000	Change: \$
		13,000